

Matrix Fejér-Riesz theorem with gaps

Aljaž Zalar

Spectral Theory Seminar
Ljubljana, 09 January 2026

Notation

R - the ring of complex polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ ($x^* = \bar{x} = x$) or complex Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z, \frac{1}{z}]$ ($z^* = \bar{z} = \frac{1}{z}$)

$M_n(R)$ - matrix polynomials ($F^* = \bar{F}^T$)

$H_n(R)$ - hermitian matrix polynomials ($F^* = F$)

$\sum M_n(R)^2$ - sums of hermitian matrix squares, i.e. finite sums of the form

$$\sum A_i^* A_i, \quad \text{where } A_i \in M_n(R)$$

Matrix Fejér-Riesz theorem

Theorem (Fejér-Riesz theorem on \mathbb{T})

Let

$$A(z) = \sum_{m=-N}^N A_m z^m \in M_n\left(\mathbb{C}[z, \frac{1}{z}]\right)$$

be a $n \times n$ matrix Laurent polynomial, such that $A(z)$ is positive semidefinite for every

$$z \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| = 1\}.$$

Then there exists a matrix polynomial

$$B(z) = \sum_{m=0}^N B_m z^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[z]),$$

such that

$$A(z) = B(z)^* B(z).$$

Matrix Fejér-Riesz theorem

Theorem (Fejér-Riesz theorem on \mathbb{R})

Let

$$F(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} F_m x^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$$

be a $n \times n$ matrix polynomial, such that $F(x)$ is positive semidefinite for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a matrix polynomial

$$G(x) = \sum_{m=0}^N G_m x^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x]),$$

such that

$$F(x) = G(x)^* G(x).$$

Many proofs of the matrix Fejér-Riesz theorem

- I. T. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, A system of integral equation on a semiaxis with kernels depending on different arguments. *Uspekhi matemat. nauk* 13 (1958) 3–72.
- M. Rosenblatt, A multidimensional prediction problem, *Ark. Mat.* vol. 3 (1958) 407–424.
- V. A. Jakubovič, Factorization of symmetric matrix polynomials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* 194 (1970) 532–535.
- **V. M. Popov, Hyperstability of control systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.**
- D. Z. Djoković, Hermitian matrices over polynomial rings. *J. Algebra* 43 (1976) 359–374.
- M. D. Choi, T. Y. Lam, B. Reznick, Real zeros of positive semidefinite forms I, *Math. Z.* 171 (1980) 1–26.
- I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, *Matrix polynomials*, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1982.
- A. N. Malyshev, Factorization of matrix polynomials, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 23 (1982) 136–146.

Main problem

Problem

- ① *Characterize univariate matrix Laurent polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on a union of points and arcs in \mathbb{T} .*
- ② *Characterize univariate matrix polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on a union of points and intervals (not necessarily bounded) in \mathbb{R} .*

Semialgebraic set and preordering

A *basic closed semialgebraic set* $K_S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ associated to a finite subset

$$S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$$

is given by

$$K := K_S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g_j(x) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, s\}.$$

We define the *n-th matrix preordering* T_S^n by

$$T_S^n := \left\{ \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^s} \sigma_e \underline{g}^e : \sigma_e \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])^2 \text{ for all } e \in \{0,1\}^s \right\},$$

where $e = (e_1, \dots, e_s)$ and \underline{g}^e stands for $g_1^{e_1} \cdots g_s^{e_s}$.

Saturated preordering

Let $\text{Pos}_{\succeq 0}^n(K_S)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ hermitian matrix polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on K_S , i.e.,

$$F \in \text{Pos}_{\succeq 0}^n(K_S) \Leftrightarrow F(x) \succeq 0 \quad \forall x \in K_S.$$

Matrix preordering T_S^n is *saturated* if $T_S^n = \text{Pos}_{\succeq 0}^n(K_S)$.

Saturated matrix preordering T_S^n is *boundedly saturated*, if every $F \in \text{Pos}_{\succeq 0}^n(K_S)$ is of the form

$$F = \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^s} \sigma_e \underline{g}^e,$$

where

$$\deg(\sigma_e \underline{g}^e) \leq \deg(F)$$

holds for every $e \in \{0,1\}^s$.

Natural description and scalar saturated preorderings

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a basic closed semialgebraic set.

A set $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$ is the *natural description* of K , if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) If K has the least element a , then $x - a \in S$.
- (b) If K has the greatest element a , then $a - x \in S$.
- (c) For every $a \neq b \in K$, if $(a, b) \cap K = \emptyset$, then $(x - a)(x - b) \in S$.
- (d) These are the only elements of S .

Theorem (Kuhlmann, Marshall, 2002)

If S is the natural description of K , then the preordering T_S^1 is boundedly saturated.

Matricial saturated preorderings

Theorem (Gohberg, Krein, 1958)

For $K = \mathbb{R}$, T_\emptyset^n is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (Dette, Studden, 2002)

For $K = K_{\{x, 1-x\}} = [0, 1]$, $T_{\{x, 1-x\}}^n$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (Schmüdgen, Savchuk, 2012)

For $K = K_{\{x\}} = [0, \infty)$, $T_{\{x\}}^n$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Matricial saturated preorderings

Theorem (Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz; Z., 2016)

Let K be a compact semialgebraic set with the natural description S . Then T_S^n is saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (Non-compact Nichtnegativstellensatz; Z., 2016)

Suppose K be an unbounded basic closed semialgebraic set in \mathbb{R} and S its natural description. Then, for a hermitian $F \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$, the following are equivalent:

- ① $F \in Pos_{\geq 0}^n(K)$.
- ② $(1 + x^2)^k F \in T_S^n$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Classification of non-compact sets K

K	T_S^n saturated
an unbounded interval	Yes
a union of an unbounded interval and an isolated point	?
a union of an unbounded interval and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
a union of two unbounded intervals	Yes
a union of two unbounded intervals and an isolated point	?
a union of two unbounded intervals and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
includes a bounded and an unbounded interval	No

Classification of non-compact sets K

Theorem (Union of an interval and point; Sun, Z., 2025)

Let $K = \{a\} \cup [b, c]$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b < c$. Then $T_{\{x-a, (x-a)(x-b), c-x\}}^n$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

K	T_S^n sat.
an unbounded interval	Yes
a union of an unbounded interval and an isolated point	Yes
a union of an unbounded interval and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
a union of two unbounded intervals	Yes
a union of two unbounded intervals and an isolated point	Yes
a union of two unbounded intervals and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
includes a bounded and an unbounded interval	No

Proof of Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz

Proposition

Suppose K is a non-empty basic closed semialgebraic set in \mathbb{R} and S a natural description of K . Then for every $F \in \text{Pos}_{\geq 0}^n(K)$ and every $w \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, such that $h(w) \neq 0$ and

$$h^2 F \in T_S^n.$$

Proof of Proposition.

The proof is by induction of the size of matrix polynomials n . We write

$$F(x) = p(x)^m G(x),$$

where

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} x - w, & w \in \mathbb{R} \\ (x - w)(x - \bar{w}), & w \notin \mathbb{R} \end{cases}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad G(w) \neq 0.$$

Proof of Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz

Proof of Proposition.

Writing

$$G := \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ \beta^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{R}[x] & M_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]) \\ M_{n-1,1}(\mathbb{C}[x]) & H_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]) \end{bmatrix},$$

it holds that

$$a^4 \cdot G = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ \beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^3 & 0 \\ 0 & a(aC - \beta^*\beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^3 & 0 \\ 0 & a(aC - \beta^*\beta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ -\beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot G \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & -\beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof of Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz

Proof of Proposition.

WLOG: $a(w) \neq 0$ (otherwise use a permutation).

$$a^4 F = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ \beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ -\beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} F \begin{bmatrix} a & -\beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $d = p^m a^3 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and $D = p^m (aC - \beta^* \beta) \in H_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x])$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $h_1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with $h_1(w) \neq 0$, such that

$$h_1^2 D \in T_S^{n-1}.$$

Together with $h_1^2 d \in T_S^1$, it follows that

$$(a^2 h_1)^2 F \in T_S^n.$$



Getting rid of the denominator

To conclude the proof we need the following:

Proposition (Scheiderer, 2006)

Suppose R is a commutative ring with 1 and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R$. Let

$$\Phi : R \rightarrow C(K, \mathbb{R})$$

be a ring homomorphism, where K is a topological space which is compact and Hausdorff, and $\Phi(R)$ separates points in K . Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_k \in R$ are such that

$$\langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle = R \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(f_j) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then there exist $s_1, \dots, s_k \in R$ such that

$$s_1 f_1 + \dots + s_k f_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(s_j) > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof of Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz

We have

$$I := \langle h^2 : h \in \mathbb{R}[x], h^2 F \in T_S^n \rangle \underset{\substack{\text{=} \\ \text{"}h^2 F\text{-proposition"}}}{\mathbb{R}[x]}.$$

By Scheiderer's result, there exist $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \text{Pos}_{\succ 0}^1(K)$ and $h_1, \dots, h_k \in I$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k s_j h_j^2 = 1.$$

Hence,

$$F = 1 \cdot F = \sum_{j=1}^k \underbrace{s_j}_{\in T_S^1} \underbrace{h_j^2 F}_{\in T_S^n} \in T_S^n,$$

which concludes the proof.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Example

The matrix polynomial

$$F(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x+2 & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & x^2 - 2x + 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive semidefinite on $K := [-1, 0] \cup [1, \infty)$, but $F \notin T_S^2$, where S is the natural description of K .

Proof.

All the principal minors of F , i.e. $x+2$, $x^2 - 2x + 3$ and $\det(F) = x^3 - x$ are non-negative on K .

Suppose

$$F(x) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1) + \sigma_2x(x-1) + \sigma_3(x+1)x(x-1), \quad (*)$$

where $\sigma_i \in \sum M_2(\mathbb{C}[x])^2$.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Proof.

After comparing degrees of both sides we conclude that $\sigma_3 = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_0) \leq 2$, $\deg(\sigma_1) = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_2) = 0$ and observing the monomial x^2 on both sides, it follows that $\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in [0, 1]$.

(*) is equivalent to

$$F(x) - \sigma_2 x(x-1) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1).$$

The right-hand side is positive semidefinite on $[-1, \infty)$. But the determinant of the left-hand side is

$$q(x) := -(-1+x)x(-1+2c+(-1+c)x).$$

Since $q \not\equiv 0$ and q cannot have double zeroes at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, it is not non-negative on $[-1, \infty)$. Contradiction. □

Union of an interval and a point

Theorem (Sun, Z., 2025)

Let $K = \{a\} \cup [b, c]$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b < c$. If $F \in Pos_{\leq 0}^n(K)$ and:

$\deg F = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$F(x) = \underbrace{F_0(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F} + \underbrace{(x-a)(x-b)F_1(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F} + \underbrace{(x-a)(c-x)F_2(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F},$$
$$F_i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2.$$

$\deg F = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$F(x) = \underbrace{(x-a)F_0(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F} + \underbrace{(c-x)F_1(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F} + \underbrace{(x-a)^2(x-b)F_2(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F} +$$
$$+ \underbrace{(x-a)(x-b)(c-x)F_3(x)}_{\text{degree} \leq \deg F}, \quad F_i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2.$$

Proof is done on the dual side by solving the corresponding truncated matrix moment problem.

Positive matrix measures

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a closed set and $\text{Bor}(K)$ the Borel σ -algebra of K . We call

$$\mu := (\mu_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n : \text{Bor}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n$$

a $n \times n$ positive Borel matrix-valued measure supported on K if:

- ① $\mu_{ij} : \text{Bor}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real measure for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and
- ② $\mu(\Delta) \succeq 0$ for every $\Delta \in \text{Bor}(K)$.

Let $\tau := \text{tr}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{ii}$ denote the trace measure. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq k}$ is μ -integrable if $f \in L^1(\tau)$. We define its integral by

$$\int_K f \, d\mu = \left(\int_K f \, d\mu_{ij} \right)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Truncated matrix-valued moment problem

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a linear mapping

$$L : \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq k} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n,$$

the *truncated matrix-valued moment problem* supported on K asks to characterize the existence of a \mathbb{S}_n -valued positive matrix measure μ such that

$$L(f) = \int_K f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq k}.$$

Equivalently, one can define L by a sequence of its values on monomials x^i , $i = 0, \dots, k$, which we denote by $\Gamma_i := L(x^i)$. We write

$$\Gamma := (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k) \in \mathbb{S}_n^{k+1}.$$

Proposition

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_k) \in \mathbb{S}_n^{k+1}$ and K a *compact* set. The following statements are equivalent:

- ① Γ has a positive matrix measure supported on K .
- ② $\sum_{i=0}^k A_i x^i \in Pos_{\geq 0}^n(K)$ implies that $\sum_{i=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_i A_i) \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=0}^k t^i A_i \succeq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in K \\
 \iff & \sum_{i=0}^k t^i a^t A_i a \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in K \\
 \iff & \sum_{i=0}^k \text{tr}(A_i t^i a a^t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in K \\
 \iff & \sum_{i=0}^k \text{tr}(A_i \Gamma_i) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all moment sequences } (\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moment matrix

For $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq \frac{k}{2}$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{M}_m = \left(\Gamma_{i+j-2} \right)_{i,j=1}^{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_0 & \Gamma_1 & \Gamma_2 & \cdots & \Gamma_m \\ \Gamma_1 & \Gamma_2 & & & \Gamma_{m+1} \\ \Gamma_2 & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \Gamma_{2m-1} \\ \Gamma_m & \Gamma_{m+1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{2m-1} & \Gamma_{2m} \end{bmatrix}$$

the m -th truncated moment matrix.

Localizing moment matrices

Fix $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq k}$ and write

$$\Gamma_i^{(f)} := L(fx^i).$$

An f -localizing ℓ -th truncated moment matrix \mathcal{H}_f is

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\ell) := \left(\Gamma_{i+j-2}^{(f)} \right)_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_0^{(f)} & \Gamma_1^{(f)} & \Gamma_2^{(f)} & \dots & \Gamma_\ell^{(f)} \\ \Gamma_1^{(f)} & \Gamma_2^{(f)} & & & \Gamma_{\ell+1}^{(f)} \\ \Gamma_2^{(f)} & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \Gamma_{2\ell-1}^{(f)} \\ \Gamma_\ell^{(f)} & \Gamma_{\ell+1}^{(f)} & \dots & \Gamma_{2\ell-1}^{(f)} & \Gamma_{2\ell}^{(f)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Flat Extension Theorem

Theorem

Let $k, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $K = K_S$ be a closed nonempty semialgebraic set such that, where $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$, and $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{2k}) \in \mathbb{S}_n^{2k+1}$ be a given sequence. Then the following statements are equivalent:

① The following statements hold:

- ① $\mathcal{M}_k \succeq 0$.
- ② $\mathcal{H}_{g_j} \succeq 0$.
- ③ $\text{rank } \mathcal{M}_{k-v} = \text{rank } \mathcal{M}_k$, where $v := \max(\max_j \lceil \deg g_j / 2 \rceil, 1)$.

② Γ has a $(\text{rank } \mathcal{M}_{k-v})$ -atomic positive measure μ with $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq K$.

The moment problem: a union of an interval and a point

Theorem

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b < c$,

$$K = K_{\{x-a, (x-a)(x-b), c-x\}} = \{a\} \cup [b, c],$$

and $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k) \in \mathbb{S}_n^{k+1}$. Then the following facts are equivalent:

- ① There exists a K -representing matrix measure for Γ .
- ② There exists a finitely-atomic K -representing matrix measure for Γ .
- ③ One of the following statements holds:

- ① $k = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_m \succeq 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_{(x-a)(x-b)}(m-1) \succeq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{(x-a)(c-x)}(m-1) \succeq 0.$$

- ② $k = 2m + 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{x-a}(m), \quad \mathcal{H}_{c-x}(m), \quad \mathcal{H}_{(x-a)^2(x-b)}(m-1), \quad \mathcal{H}_{(x-a)(x-b)(c-x)}(m-1) \succeq 0.$$

Sketch of the proof

The nontrivial implication is (3) \Rightarrow (2). WLOG: $a = 0$, $b = 1$ and $c > 1$. Assume that $k = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that Γ_0 only appears in \mathcal{M}_m .

Let us replace Γ_0 by the smallest $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_m \succeq 0$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\ell$ is the moment matrix corresponding to

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = (\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{2\ell}), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq m.$$

Namely, using Schur complements,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \cdots & \Gamma_m \end{bmatrix} (\mathcal{H}_{x^2}(m-1))^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 & \cdots & \Gamma_m \end{bmatrix}^T$$

and

$$\text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_m = \text{rank } \mathcal{H}_{x^2}(m-1).$$

It turns out that

$$\text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_m = \text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{m-1}.$$

Sketch of the proof

By the Flat Extension Theorem, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has a K -representing matrix measure of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i c_i^T \delta_{d_i},$$

where $r = \text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_m$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i c_i^T \delta_{d_i} + (\Gamma_0 - \tilde{\Gamma}_0) \delta_0$$

is a $(\text{rank } \mathcal{M}_m)$ -atomic K -representing matrix-valued measure for Γ . □

Corollary: Nichtnegativstellensatz

Namely, assume that $k = 2m$. Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_m \succeq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{M}_m, B \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathbb{S}_{(m+1)n}^{\succeq 0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{M}_m, \tilde{B} \tilde{B}^T \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for every } \tilde{B} = (\tilde{B}_i)_{i=0}^m \in (M_n(\mathbb{R}))^{m+1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i,j=0}^m \text{tr}(\tilde{B}_i^T \Gamma_{i+j} \tilde{B}_j) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \tilde{B} = (\tilde{B}_i)_{i=0}^m \in (M_n(\mathbb{R}))^{m+1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i,j=0}^m \text{tr}(\Gamma_{i+j} \tilde{B}_j \tilde{B}_i^T) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \tilde{B} = (\tilde{B}_i)_{i=0}^m \in (M_n(\mathbb{R}))^{m+1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell A_\ell) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \sum_{i=0}^k A_i x^i = \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{B}_j x^j \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{B}_j x^j \right)^T \in M_n(\mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq k})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell A_\ell) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \sum_{i=0}^k A_i x^i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2.$$

Corollary: Nichtnegativstellensatz

Similarly, for

$$f := c_2x^2 + c_1x + c_0 \in \{(x-a)(x-b), (x-a)(c-x)\},$$

we have that

$$\mathcal{H}_f(m-1) \succeq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_f(m-1), C \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for every } C \in \mathbb{S}_{mn}^{\succeq 0}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_f(m-1), \tilde{C}^T \tilde{C} \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for every } \tilde{C} = (\tilde{C}_i)_{i=0}^{m-1} \in (M_n(\mathbb{R}))^m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell^{(f)} A_\ell) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_i x^i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \text{tr}\left((\Gamma_{\ell+2}c_2 + \Gamma_{\ell+1}c_1 + \Gamma_\ell c_0) A_k\right) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_i x^i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell \tilde{A}_\ell) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \sum_{i=0}^k \tilde{A}_i x^i = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_i x^i\right) \text{ with}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_i x^i \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{R}[x])^2.$$

Corollary: Nichtnegativstellensatz

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell A_\ell) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } \sum_{i=0}^k A_i x^i \in \text{Pos}_{\geq 0}^n(\{a\} \cup [b, c]) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\Gamma_\ell A_\ell) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } \sum_{\ell=0}^k A_\ell x^\ell \in \underbrace{QM_{\{(x-a)(x-b), (x-a)(c-x)\}}^n}_{QM_S^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since QM_S^n is closed, it follows that

$$\text{Pos}_{\geq 0}^n(\{a\} \cup [b, c]) = QM_S^n.$$

Indeed, otherwise there is $\sum_{\ell=0}^k \tilde{A}_\ell x^\ell \in \text{Pos}_{\geq 0}^n(\{a\} \cup [b, c])$, which is not contained in QM_S^n . By the Hahn-Banach theorem there is $\tilde{\Gamma} := (\tilde{\Gamma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\Gamma}_n)$ such that $\sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell \tilde{A}_\ell) < 0$ and $\sum_{\ell=0}^k \text{tr}(\tilde{\Gamma}_\ell A_\ell) \geq 0$ for every $\sum_{i=0}^k A_i x^i \in QM_S^n$. Contradiction.

Open problems

Problem

Solve the matrix-valued truncated moment problem for K a finite union of closed intervals in \mathbb{R} .

Problem (Savchuk, Schmüdgen, 2012)

Characterize positive semidefinite matrix polynomials on

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$$

or equivalently solve the corresponding truncated matrix moment problem.

References

- with S. Sun: Matrix Fejér-Riesz type theorem for a union of an interval and a point, to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra.
- Z.: Matrix Fejér-Riesz theorem with gaps, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016) 2533-2548.

Thank you for your attention!